



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/530,719	05/04/2000	TADASHI YAMAURA	2565-198P	3186	
2292 7	590 09/09/2005	EXAMINER			
BIRCH STEV PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH & E	AZAD, ABUL K			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2654		
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/530,7	19	YAMAURA, TADASHI				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		ABUL K.	AZAD	2654				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communically	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period fc - If NO period fc - Failure to repl Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA time may be available under the provisions of 3 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do or reply is specified above, the maximum statute y within the set or extended period for reply will, sived by the Office later than three months after term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. ays, a reply within the sta ory period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a repi tutory minimum of thirty (ill expire SIX (6) MONTH blication to become ABAN	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed o	on <u>18 <i>July 2005</i></u> .						
2a)☐ This a	action is FINAL . 2b)		on-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims .							
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☐ Claim	(s) <u>19-24</u> is/are pending in the ap the above claim(s) is/are v (s) is/are allowed. (s) <u>19-24</u> is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co						
Application Pa	pers							
9)∐ The sp	pecification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
-	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	·	•					
	ant may not request that any objection		-					
	cement drawing sheet(s) including the ath or declaration is objected to by	•	J.,	•	, ,			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	wledgment is made of a claim for b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International attached detailed Office action for	cuments have bee cuments have bee he priority docum Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in App ents have been re le 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Ref	erences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sun					
3) Information D	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- lisclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Mail Date			Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on July 18, 2005.
- 2. Claims 19-24 are pending in this action. Claims 23 and 24 have been newly added.
- 3. The applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-24 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. For examiner's response to the applicant's arguments or comments, see the detailed discussion in the Response to the Arguments section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nomura et al. (C 2,112,145).

As per claim 19, Nomura teaches, "a speech decoding apparatus according to code-excited linear prediction, wherein the speech decoding apparatus receives a coded speech including a gain code and synthesizes a speech" (Page 5, lines 11-13), the speech decoding apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2654

"a gain decoder for receiving the gain code and for decoding a gain of a speech in a concerning decoding period based on the gain code input" (Page 6, lines 10-23 and Fig. 1, element 140, speech decoder);

"a noise level evaluator for evaluating a noise level of the speech in concerning decoding period by using the gain decoded by the gain decoder" (Fig. 1, element 110, error detection unit and Page 9, line 18 to Page 10, line 28);

"an excitation codebook storing time series vectors" (Page 9, lines 18-27, excitation code book and excitation code vector)

"a noise level controller for changing a noise level of time series vectors output from an excitation codebook based on an evaluation result of the noise level evaluator" (Page 9, lines 18-27).

As per claim 21, it is interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set for in the rejection of claim 19.

As per claim 20, Nomura teaches, "a speech decoding apparatus according to code-excited linear prediction, wherein the speech decoding apparatus receives a coded speech including a linear prediction parameter code, an adaptive code, an excitation code, and a gain code and synthesizes a speech" (Page 5, lines 11-28), the speech decoding apparatus comprising:

- -an adaptive codebook (Fig. 7, element 740);
- -an excitation codebook (Fig. 7, element 750);
- -a gain decoder (Fig. 1, element 140)
- -a noise level evaluator (Fig. 1, element 110)

Art Unit: 2654

- -a noise level controller (Fig. 1, elements 150 and 160)
- -a weighting-adder (Fig. 3, element 330 and 340)
- -a linear predictive parameter decoder (Fig. 5, element 560)
- -a synthesis filter (Fig. 5, element 570).

As per claim 22, it is interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 20.

As per claims 23 and 24, Nomura teaches, "a speech decoding method according to code-excited linear prediction (CELP) wherein the speech decoding method receives a speech code and synthesizes a speech using at least an excitation codebook", the speech decoding method comprising:

"obtaining a time series vector with a noise level from the excitation codebook" (page 11, lines 1822);

"determining whether modification of the time series vector is necessary, if modification is determined to be necessary, modifying the time series vector such that the noise level is changed" (page 11, line 22 to page 12, line 3);

"outputting the time series vector" (page 12, lines 1-3); and

"synthesizing a speech using the outputted time series vector" (page 12, lines 3-10). Application/Control Number: 09/530,719 Page 5

Art Unit: 2654

Response to Arguments

6. The applicant argues on November 22, at page 4, that Nomura's voiced/unvoiced judging unit does not use decoded gain.

The examiner disagrees with the applicant's assertion again as before because Nomura teaches clearly voiced/unvoiced judging unit does uses decoding gain, for example see page 6, lines 11-23, particularly reads on "gains of the adaptive and excitation codebooks and amplitude of the speech signal, and outputs the result of decoding to a voiced/unvoiced frame judging unit 170", here comparison is made based on the decoded gain of current frame with decoded gain of non-error previous frame.

7. The applicant further argues on November 22, at page 4 and 5 "there is no disclosure in Nomura that either of these bad frame masking units 150 and 160 actually evaluates a noise level. Also, there is no disclosure that the bad frame masking units 150 and 160 use a decoded gain for the current frame or decoding period".

The examiner disagrees with the applicant's above assertion as before because Nomura teaches a noise error detection unit evaluates the noise level based on the decoded gain of the current frame and input to the frame masking units 150 and 160 see for example Fig. 1, elements 110, 150 and 160; and Fig. 7, also equation 4.

Art Unit: 2654

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ABUL K. AZAD whose telephone number is (571) 272-7599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHEMOND DORVIL can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A-k. A

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2654

August 18, 2005